1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
2	E. A. JONES III, State Bar No. 71375	
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	
4	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2543	
5	Facsimile: (213) 897-2343	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE 7	гне
8	PHYSICAL THERAPY BOA DEPARTMENT OF CON	RD OF CALIFORNIA
9	STATE OF CAL	
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1D 2002 63249
11	SALLY LEE STEVENS	
12	44 185 Dalea Circle La Quinta, CA 92253	ACCUSATION
13	Physical Therapist License No. PT 5633	
14	Respondent.	
	respondent.	
15		
15 16	Complainant alleges:	
16 17		e <u>s</u>
16 17 18	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIE</u>	ES (ant) brings this Accusation solely in his
16 17 18 19	Complainant alleges: <u>PARTIE</u>	ant) brings this Accusation solely in his
16 17 18 19 20	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain	ant) brings this Accusation solely in his
16 17 18 19 20 21	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Phys Department of Consumer Affairs.	ant) brings this Accusation solely in his
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Phys Department of Consumer Affairs.	nant) brings this Accusation solely in his sical Therapy Board of California, , the Physical Therapy Board of California
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Phys Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 1971	nant) brings this Accusation solely in his sical Therapy Board of California, the Physical Therapy Board of California to Sally Lee Stevens (Respondent). The
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Phys Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 1971 issued Physical Therapist License Number PT 5633	nant) brings this Accusation solely in his sical Therapy Board of California, the Physical Therapy Board of California to Sally Lee Stevens (Respondent). The
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Phys Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 1971 issued Physical Therapist License Number PT 5633 Physical Therapist License was in full force and effective of the Physical Therapist License was in full force and effective particular to the particular to th	nant) brings this Accusation solely in his sical Therapy Board of California, the Physical Therapy Board of California to Sally Lee Stevens (Respondent). The ect at all times relevant to the charges brought is renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Phys Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 1971 issued Physical Therapist License Number PT 5633 Physical Therapist License was in full force and effect herein and will expire on September 30, 2005, unless JURISDICT	nant) brings this Accusation solely in his sical Therapy Board of California, the Physical Therapy Board of California to Sally Lee Stevens (Respondent). The ect at all times relevant to the charges brought is renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Complainant alleges: PARTIE 1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complain official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Phys Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about January 14, 1971 issued Physical Therapist License Number PT 5633 Physical Therapist License was in full force and effect herein and will expire on September 30, 2005, unless JURISDICT	nant) brings this Accusation solely in his sical Therapy Board of California, the Physical Therapy Board of California to Sally Lee Stevens (Respondent). The ect at all times relevant to the charges brought s renewed. SION efore the Physical Therapy Board of

(1) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physical therapist.

(m) Except for good cause, the knowing failure to protect patients by failing to follow infection control guidelines of the board, thereby risking transmission of blood-borne infectious diseases from licensee to patient, from patient to patient, and from patient to licensee. In administering this subdivision, the board shall consider referencing the standards, regulations, and guidelines of the State Department of Health Services developed pursuant to Section 1250.11 of the Health and Safety Code and the standards, regulations, and guidelines pursuant to the California Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1973 (Part 1 (commencing with Section 6300) of Division 5 of the Labor Code) for preventing the transmission of HIV, Hepatitis B, and other blood-borne pathogens in health care settings. As necessary, the board shall consult with the Medical Board of California, the California Board of Podiatric Medicine, the Board of Dental Examiners of California, the Board of Registered Nursing, and the Board of Vocational Nursing and Psychiatric Technicians, to encourage appropriate consistency in the implementation of this subdivision.

"The board shall seek to ensure that licensees are informed of the responsibility of licensees and others to follow infection control guidelines, and of the most recent scientifically recognized safeguards for minimizing the risk of transmission of blood-borne infectious diseases."

6. Section 2661.5 of the Code states:

"(a) In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case.

- "(b) The costs to be assessed shall be fixed by the administrative law judge and shall not in any event be increased by the board. When the board does not adopt a proposed decision and remands the case to an administrative law judge, the administrative law judge shall not increase the amount of the assessed costs specified in the proposed decision.
- "(c) When the payment directed in an order for payment of costs is not made by the licensee, the board may enforce the order of payment by bringing an action in any appropriate court. This right of enforcement shall be in addition to any other rights the board may have as to any licensee directed to pay costs.
- "(d) In any judicial action for the recovery of costs, proof of the board's decision shall be conclusive proof of the validity of the order of payment and the terms for payment.
 - "(e) (1) Except as provided in paragraph (2), the board shall not renew or reinstate the license or approval of any person who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under this section.
 - (2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the board may, in its discretion, conditionally renew or reinstate for a maximum of one year the license or approval of any person who demonstrates financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the board to reimburse the board within that one year period for those unpaid costs.
- "(f) All costs recovered under this section shall be deposited in the Physical Therapy Fund as a reimbursement in either the fiscal year in which the costs are actually recovered or the previous fiscal year, as the board may direct."
- 7. Section 2630 of the Code states:

"It is unlawful for any person or persons to practice, or offer to practice, physical therapy in this state for compensation received or expected, or to hold himself or herself out as a physical therapist, unless at the time of so doing the person holds a valid, unexpired, and unrevoked license issued under this chapter.

6

7 8

10

11

9

12

13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

"Nothing in this section shall restrict the activities authorized by their licenses on the part of any persons licensed under this code or any initiative act, or the activities authorized to be performed pursuant to Article 4.5 (commencing with Section 2655) or Chapter 7.7 (commencing with Section 3500).

"A physical therapist licensed pursuant to this chapter may utilized the services of one aide engaged in patient-related tasks to assist the physical therapist in his or her practice of physical therapy. "Patient-related task" means a physical therapy service rendered directly to the patient by an aide, excluding non-patient-related tasks. "Nonpatient-related task" means a task related to observation of the patient, transport of the patient, physical support only during gait or transfer training, housekeeping duties, clerical duties, and similar functions. The aide shall at all times be under the orders, direction, and immediate supervision of the physical therapist. Nothing in this section shall authorize an aide to independently perform physical therapy or any physical therapy procedure. The board shall adopt regulations that set forth the standards and requirements for the orders, direction, and immediate supervision of an aide by a physical therapist. The physical therapist shall provide continuous and immediate supervision of the aide. The physical therapist shall be in the same facility as, and in proximity to, the location where the aide is performing patient-related tasks, and shall be readily available at all times to provide advice or instruction to the aide. When patient-related tasks are provided to a patient by an aide, the supervising physical therapist shall, at some point during the treatment day, provide direct service to the patient as treatment for the patient's condition, or to further evaluate and monitor the patient's progress, and shall correspondingly document the patient's record.

"The administration of massage, external baths, or normal exercise not a part of a physical therapy treatment shall not be prohibited by this section."

8. Section 2655 of the Code states:

"As used in this article:

(a) "Physical therapist" means a physical therapist licensed by the board.

on a single page shall be the printed or stamped name of the treating physical therapist."

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399, states:

"A physical therapy aide is an unlicensed person who assists a physical therapist and may be utilized by a physical therapist in his or her practice by performing nonpatient related tasks, or by performing patient related tasks.

- "(a) As used in these regulations:
 - (1) A "patient related task" means a physical therapy service rendered directly to the patient by an aide, excluding nonpatient related tasks as defined below.
 - (2) A "nonpatient related task" means a task related to observation of the patient, transport of patients, physical support only during gait or transfer training, housekeeping duties, clerical duties and similar functions.
- "(b) "Under the orders, direction and immediate supervision" means:
 - Prior to the initiation of care, the physical therapist shall evaluate every patient prior to the performance of any patient related tasks by the aide.
 The evaluation shall be documented in the patient's record.
 - (2) The physical therapist shall formulate and record in the patient's record a treatment program based upon the evaluation and any other information available to the physical therapist, and shall determine those patient related tasks which may be assigned to an aide. The patient's record shall reflect those patient related tasks that were rendered by the aide, including the signature of the aide who performed those tasks.
 - (3) The physical therapist shall assign only those patient related tasks that can be safely and effectively performed by the aide. The supervising physical therapist shall be responsible at all times for the conduct of the aide while he or she is on duty.
 - (4) The physical therapist shall provide continuous and immediate supervision of the aide. The physical therapist shall be in the same facility

as and in immediate proximity to the location where the aide is performing patient related tasks, and shall be readily available at all times to provide advice or instruction to the aide. When patient related tasks are provided a patient by an aide the supervising physical therapist shall at some point during the treatment day provide direct service to the patient as treatment for the patient's condition or to further evaluate and monitor the patient's progress, and so document in the patient's record.

- (5) The physical therapist shall perform periodic re-evaluation of the patient as necessary and make adjustments in the patient's treatment program. The re-evaluation shall be documented in the patient's record.
- (6) The supervising physical therapist shall countersign with their first initial and last name, and date all entries in the patient's record, on the same day as patient related tasks were provided by the aide."
- 12. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.20, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license or approval, pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license or approval under the Physical Therapy Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person to perform the functions authorized by the license or approval in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Physical Therapy Practice Act.
- "(b) Conviction of a crime involving fiscal dishonesty arising out of or in connection with the practice of physical therapy.
 - "(c) Violating or attempting to violate any provision or term of the Medical

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding and Abetting the Illegal Practice of Physical Therapy When Utilizing an Aide)

- 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2660, subdivisions (j) and (k), and section 2630 of the Code, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations Title 16, section 1399, in that she aided and abetted the illegal practice of physical therapy in the use of an aide. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. With respect to patient N.H., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between August 16, 2001, and October 31, 2001, without direct service rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist.
 - B. With respect to patient N.H., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between August 16, 2001, and October 31, 2001, when respondent or a licensed physical therapist was not physically present on the premises.
 - C. With respect to patient W.M., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation was performed on May 20, 2003, by a physical therapy aide employed by respondent, who signed respondent's name to the chart.
 - D. With respect to patient W.M., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between May 20, 2003, and April 15, 2004, without direct service rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist.
 - E. With respect to patient W.M., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between May 20, 2003, and April 15, 2004, when respondent or a licensed physical therapist was not physically present on the premises.
 - F. With respect to patient R.D., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation was performed on December 17, 2003, by a physical therapy aide employed by

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

G. With respect to patient R.D., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between December 17, 2003, and May 4, 2004, without direct service rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist.

- H. With respect to patient R.D., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between December 17, 2003, and May 4, 2004, when respondent or a licensed physical therapist was not physically present on the premises.
- With respect to patient O.H., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation I. was performed on March 15, 2004, by a physical therapy aide employed by respondent, who signed respondent's name to the chart.
- J. With respect to patient O.H., the patient was treated by a physical therapy aide employed by respondent on March 15, 2004, without direct service rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist.
- With respect to patient S.B., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation was performed on March 10, 2004, by a physical therapy aide employed by respondent, who signed respondent's name to the chart.
- With respect to patient S.B., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between March 10, 2004, and April 27, 2004, without direct service rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist.
- M. With respect to patient S.B., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between March 10, 2004, and April 27, 2004, when respondent or a licensed physical therapist was not physically present on the premises.
- With respect to patient W.L., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluations were performed on September 12, 2002, and February 5, 2004, by a physical therapy aide employed by respondent, who signed respondent's name to the chart (on September 12,

1 2002, only). 2 O. With respect to patient W.L., the patient was treated by physical therapy 3 aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between September 12, 2002, and October 10, 2002, and February 5, 2004, and February 19, 2004, without direct service 4 5 rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist. With respect to patient W.L., the patient was treated by physical therapy 6 P. 7 aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between September 12, 2002, and 8 October 10, 2002, and February 5, 2004, and February 19, 2004, when respondent or a 9 licensed physical therapist was not physically present on the premises. 10 SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 11 (Gross Negligence) 12 14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2660, subsection 13 (h) of the Code in that respondent engaged in extreme departures from the standard of care with 14 respect to her treatment of patients. The circumstances are as follows: 15 Α. With respect to patient N.H., the patient was treated by physical therapy 16 aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between August 16, 2001, and 17 October 31, 2001, without a proper medical diagnosis in the chart. 18 В. With respect to patient S.B., the patient was treated by physical therapy 19 aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between March 10, 2004, and 20 April 27, 2004, without a proper medical diagnosis in the chart. 21 C. With respect to patient W.L., the patient was treated by physical therapy 22 aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between September 12, 2002, and 23 October 10, 2002, and February 5, 2004, and February 19, 2004, without a proper medical 24 diagnosis in the chart. 25 D. With respect to patient O.H., the patient was treated by physical therapy 26 aides employed by respondent on March 15, 2004, without a proper medical diagnosis in 27 the chart. 28 E. With respect to patient R.D., a discharge summary was not documented in

the patient's physical therapy records.

- F. With respect to patient O.H., a discharge summary was not documented in the patient's physical therapy records.
- G. With respect to S.B., a discharge summary was not documented in the patient's physical therapy records.
- H. With respect to patient N.H., who was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between August 16, 2001, and October 31, 2001, the use of hot packs, ultrasound and electrical stimulation was not indicated for the patient's diagnosis and the overall care provided was palliative rather than progressive.
- I. With respect to patients W.M., R.D., O.H., S.B. and W.L., who were treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions, the overall care provided was palliative and did not provide substantive progress toward functional physical therapy goals.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Document Treatment)

- 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under 2620.7, in conjunction with California Code of Regulations, Title 16, section 1399.85, in that she failed to keep proper records of physical therapy treatments that were rendered to patients. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. With respect to patient W.M., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation performed on May 20, 2003, was not properly documented inasmuch as it contained poor objective testing and cursory goals which were not based on objective findings.
 - B. With respect to patient R.D., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation performed on December 17, 2003, was not properly documented inasmuch as it contained poor objective testing and cursory goals which were not based on objective findings.
 - C. With respect to patient O.H., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation

performed on March 15, 2004, was not properly documented inasmuch as it contained poor objective testing and cursory goals which were not based on objective findings.

- D. With respect to patient S.B., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluation performed on March 10, 2004, was not properly documented inasmuch as it contained poor objective testing and cursory goals which were not based on objective findings.
- E. With respect to patient W.L., the patient's initial physical therapy evaluations performed on September 12, 2002, and February 5, 2004, were not properly documented inasmuch as they contained poor objective testing and cursory goals which were not based on objective findings.
- F. With respect to patient R.D., a discharge summary was not documented in the patient's physical therapy records.
- G. With respect to patient O.H., a discharge summary was not documented in the patient's physical therapy records.
- H. With respect to S.B., a discharge summary was not documented in the patient's physical therapy records.
- I. With respect to patient W.M., who was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between May 20, 2003, and April 15, 2004, the daily progress notes were not properly documented inasmuch as they contained excessive subjective information and lacked objective evidence of patient progress.
- J. With respect to patient R.D., who was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between December 17, 2003, and May 4, 2004, the daily progress notes were not properly documented inasmuch as they contained excessive subjective information and lacked objective evidence of patient progress.
- K. With respect to patient O.H., who was treated by a physical therapy aide employed by respondent on March 15, 2004, the daily progress notes were not properly documented inasmuch as they contained excessive subjective information and lacked objective evidence of patient progress.
 - L. With respect to patient S.B., who was treated by physical therapy aides

employed by respondent on numerous occasions between March 10, 2004, and April 27, 2004, the daily progress notes were not properly documented inasmuch as they contained excessive subjective information and lacked objective evidence of patient progress.

M. With respect to patient W.L., who was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between September 12, 2002, and October 10, 2002, and February 5, 2004, and February 19, 2004, the daily progress notes were not properly documented inasmuch as they contained excessive subjective information and lacked objective evidence of patient progress.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct/Presenting False Statements)

- 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 810 in that Respondent knowingly prepared, made or subscribed to patient physical therapy treatment records with the intent to present or use them, or to allow them to be presented or used, in support of false or fraudulent claims for compensation. The circumstances are as follows:
 - A. With respect to patient W.M., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between May 20, 2003, and April 15, 2004, without direct service rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist and/or when respondent or a licensed physical therapist was not physically present on the premises. In connection with this patient, respondent knowingly prepared, made or subscribed to patient treatment records for physical therapy that was not provided by a licensed physical therapist, and allowed said false records to be used in support of false or fraudulent claims for compensation for therapy as if performed by a licensed physical therapist.
 - B. With respect to patient R.D., the patient was treated by physical therapy aides employed by respondent on numerous occasions between December 17, 2003, and May 4, 2004, without direct service rendered by respondent or a licensed physical therapist and/or when respondent or a licensed physical therapist was not physically present on the premises. With regard to this patient, respondent knowingly prepared,

1	made or subscribed to patient treatment records for physical therapy that was not	
2	provided by a licensed physical therapist, and allowed said false records to be used in	
3	support of false or fraudulent claims for compensation for therapy as if performed by a	
4	licensed physical therapist.	
5	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
6	(Utilizing More than One Physical Therapy Aide)	
7	17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2630 and 2660,	
8	subdivision (i), of the Code in that respondent utilized more than one physical therapy aide	
9	engaged in patient related tasks to assist respondent in her practice of physical therapy. The	
10	circumstances are as follows:	
11	A. Between in or around November 2002 and April 2004, respondent utilized	
12	more than one physical therapy aide engaged in patient related tasks to assist respondent	
13	in her practice of physical therapy.	
14	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
15	(Fraudulent, Dishonest or Corrupt Acts)	
16	18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2660,	
17	subsections (i), (j) and (l), in that Respondent committed fraudulent, dishonest or corrupt acts	
18	which were substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physical therapist.	
19	The circumstances are as follows:	
20	A. The facts and circumstances alleged in paragraphs 13 through 17 above are	
21	incorporated here as if fully set forth.	
22	<u>PRAYER</u>	
23	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein	
24	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Physical Therapy Board of California issue a	
25	decision:	
26	1. Revoking or suspending Physical Therapist License Number PT 5633,	
27	issued to Sally Lee Stevens;	
28	2. Ordering Sally Lee Stevens to pay the Physical Therapy Board of	

1	
1	California the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to
2	Business and Professions Code section 2661.3;
3	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
4	DATED: <u>May 30, 2005</u>
5	
6	Original Signed By:
7	STEVEN K. HARTZELL Executive Officer
8	Physical Therapy Board of California
9	Physical Therapy Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant
10	Stevens Accusation.wpd
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	